



ACCE IAB 2019

Survey Title: ACCE Industry Advisory Board Best Practices Event 2019

Responses By Question Analysis:

ACCE IAB 2019 Survey

1. Which of the following most closely describes your field of employment?

		Response Total	Response Percent
Academic Administration		11	18%
Association		1	2%
Faculty		17	27%
Industry/Construction		28	45%
Professional			
Manufacturer or Supplier		3	5%
Retired, Academic		0	0%
Retired, Industry		2	3%
Retired, Other		0	0%
Other		0	0%
Total Respondents		62	100%

2. Are you currently a member of an IAB?

		Response Total	Response Percent
Yes! I am a current Member		35	56%
No, but I used to be		1	2%
No, but I am considering it		7	11%
No, I am faculty		15	24%
No		4	6%

Conditional Question Answers Response ID: 88884

Since you answered "No" what is preventing you from joining?

1. No school nearby that teaches BC or CM

Conditional Question Answers Response ID: 88888

Since you answered "No" what is preventing you from joining?

1. I have just started working at USM and am getting acquainted with out IAB

Conditional Question Answers Response ID: 88900

Since you answered "No" what is preventing you from joining?

1. Faculty member

Conditional Question Answers Response ID: 89039

Since you answered "No" what is preventing you from joining?

1. Conflict; part of my job is overseeing IABs in our college

Conditional Question Answers Response ID: 89291

Since you answered "No" what is preventing you from joining?

1. I yes need to become a member.

Conditional Question Answers Response ID: 89343

Since you answered "No" what is preventing you from joining?

1. just got invited

Conditional Question Answers Response ID: 89519

Since you answered "No" what is preventing you from joining?

1. Scheduke

Conditional Question Answers Response ID: 89520

Since you answered "No" what is preventing you from joining?

1. This is my first introduction to IAB

Conditional Question Answers Response ID: 89540

Since you answered "No" what is preventing you from joining?


1. I am staff at my University.

Conditional Question Answers Response ID: 89637

Since you answered "No" what is preventing you from joining?

1. Just time to get more involved, and make it happen

- 3.** How did you learn about this event?



	Response Total	Response Percent
Directly from an ACCE email	23	37%
ACCE Website	2	3%
Word of mouth from a Colleague	10	16%
Forwarded email from a Colleague	4	6%
Word of mouth from my IAB Chair	8	13%
Forwarded email from the Program Chair of my IAB	10	16%
Other	5	8%
Total Respondents	62	
(skipped this question)		54

Conditional Question Answers Response ID: 88925

Please explain how you learned about this event.

1. We are an ACCE accredited Construction Management program.

Conditional Question Answers Response ID: 89343

Please explain how you learned about this event.

1. previous involvement

Conditional Question Answers Response ID: 89443

Please explain how you learned about this event.

1. I have attended at least one of these sessions previously.

Conditional Question Answers Response ID: 89518

Please explain how you learned about this event.

1. Member of a IACC Board

Conditional Question Answers Response ID: 89570

Please explain how you learned about this event.

1. My university- Southern Mississippi

4. Why did you decide to attend this event?


1. to learn more about the IAB involvement
2. Want to gain knowledge to be a more valuable member of the OSU CSM IAC.
3. All of our board had participated in the past. This happened to be my first year to be in the board and i wanted to try it out.
4. ISU had two advisory board members attend. To strengthen the relationship and improve the program we attend with them.
5. Desire to influence construction education and need to have access to talent pool

6. I will be handling the University side of our IAB and wanted to familiarize myself with others practices as well and get acquainted with some of our executive members.
7. Had not been to one in long time
8. As a supporter of the IAB event for the past several years I always look forward to the content of the 1-day meeting. It was especially valuable since to people from my company attended this year.
9. Opportunity to learn best practices and network
10. Attended last year and found very useful.
11. Was told would be informative and contribute to improving our Industry Advisory Council.
12. I am now responsible for the accreditation renewal process and needed to learn about ACCE.
13. I am both an industry professional and adjunct faculty member so this program serves multiple purposes for me.
14. To learn more about best practices in running IABs
15. To learn more about what other IAB groups are doing and network.
16. To learn from others and to show support for my program
17. In order to keep abreast of the latest information regarding the IABs across the nation.
18. I believe in the ACCE IAB Mission
19. To stay up to date with current events
20. To learn more about ACCE and the site visit process
21. Needed to learn more about what an IAB does and how to be effective. The IAB I am in is new and we need to get up to speed fast.
22. To renew old acquaintances to learn more about accreditation process and report to my IAB board
23. To show our Board what was involved with accreditation.
24. Always learn new information, networking opportunities, our School presented during the meeting
25. to prepare for accreditation visit
26. Am part of three different IAB's. Attended these in the past. Always get great ideas and feedback on what we are doing locally.
27. I am interested in assisting with future Accreditation visits.
28. To learn about best practices and network with other IAB/educators.
29. To network with other companies and learn about strong engagement opportunities.
30. To gain a greater grasp of the ACCE requirements in preparation for re accreditation
31. My assessment position is new, and I don't have any knowledge about assessment/accreditation for our construction management program. I also would like to see how IABs work for others.
32. To learn best practices in engaging with Industry Advisory Boards.
33. To gather greater context to how a PAC functions.
34. I attend all of them for my IAC
35. I am a new faculty member and was required to go for the benefit of our program and future accreditation review knowledge
36. The Department head asked me to attend.
37. To get training under the new SLO accreditation process as an IAB member and to get an opportunity to speak to other IAB members regarding their programs.

38. I need to help Morgan State University CM Program to become certified.
39. I attended the event last year as well. I am now the Chair for accreditation on 2 IAB's, so wanted to attend the initial team training.
40. check in with best practices and meet new folks
41. Asked to be on a discussion panel.
42. Learn of best practices and take-aways.
43. To provide positive representation for the University which I chair the IAB as a invited guest to participate on a discussion panel
44. Because we have been involved and each time I learn something new that has improved my Industry Advisory Council!
45. part of committee
46. Useful to understand the perspectives of the industry as it relates to academic instruction.
47. I attended this event in order to help our IAB to become more effective.
48. I had the time and the opportunity. Further, it is a worthwhile event in which to participate.
49. To learn from the experiences of others.
50. To take away some lessons and bring them back to our IAC.
51. To network with key academia members, see old acquaintances, and support my alma mater, UF and my current board affiliation at UH, where I am VP of our IAB, soon to be president.
52. I'm interested in participating in future assessments
53. Accreditation updates
54. To better understand best practices for IABs
55. To get insights on being a better board member and to understand how to do site visits
56. Interested I. Learning more of industry and education connectiins
57. Determine if I wanted to be a member
58. To find out more about how IABs work
59. To accompany my Advisory Council President and learn best practices from other universities/industry councils.
60. Network and to understand the challenges we face in our industry
61. Enjoy learning best practices of other programs...might be something we can implement at our school.
62. I believe accreditation is extremely important in this industry, and I believe strong relationships between industry and colleges, are equally important. I have been our our colleges industry board for many years, and want to continue to improve our service to the students, faculty and community. Discussions and inspirational information is crucial for that improvement.

Total Respondents 62

5. Overall, was this event of value to you?



6. Was this event of value to you, explanation


1. I was able to connect to professional and gather information regarding their vision and opinion about the CM programs
2. Continued to learn what other IAB teams are doing at their respective Schools.
3. .
4. Offers talking points between board and program. Develops a stronger board.
5. The value was getting close to the thought leaders in construction education and having some input into their programs.
6. I have a better grasp on what the IAB can accomplish as well as how it can be run efficiently. I also believe it is a great networking event.
7. The morning session seemed to be geared more towards faculty than industry and there was no new news. Infomercials from some programs.
8. Although I am no longer an active participate on an IAB, I still learn alot about ways industry can help construction programs.
9. Ideas for marketing our CM program to prospective students.
Ways to improve engagement between the IAB and program
10. Continuing to understand the accreditation process, so the more info we receive the better.
Didn't learn anything I did not know. One of the panels did not even speak on topic, for a total of five panelists. Nor did the leader get them back on topic. Lots of bragging on what each school did/does..... Would have liked to see more practical information that could be taken back to IAC.
11. Would like to have heard how revenue streams are kept and created for IAC/IAB's. Would like to have heard about more grants for non-profits that could be taken advantage of to improve/create value for those universities. Lots of effort and cost, very little value returned for said effort and cost.
12. I found the presentations and the conversations outside of the sessions to be invaluable. I feel like I understand the process, the requirements, and I returned to my campus with a plan of action.
13. The training and topics were very good. I do think slide decks and presentations could have been previewed a bit more to give more focused talks. Some seemed to wander and then ran short of time
14. Noted some lessons learned from other IABs especially those well established ones
15. Always good to learn what other programs and IABs are doing to improve their organizations.
16. Was able to spend time with people from other universities and share successes and failures
17. We are currently retooling our IAB and were fortunate that one of our new members was able to attend this event. He took many notes and has shared the information with our new board members.

18. The ACCE IAB meeting is a great networking opportunity.
19. Updates
20. It was a work ready conference that provided meaningful content and good networking.
It provided a variety of topics that would be helpful to a variety of IAB's, depending on where they
21. were in their level of development. I learned a lot I needed to know now, and stuff I will probably realize I need to know in about a year.
22. To stay up to date with new ideas regarding our curriculum and accreditation process
23. The speakers did a great job! Our advisory board now understands the scope of effort to be accredited.
1. Gathered information about how other programs do certain things that are a challenge in our
24. program
2. Had the opportunity to ask questions of other faculty and administrators that will benefit our
program
25. Very informative
noted above why it's good. A good next step could be to have a document of best practices per size
26. of IAB- Identified by IAB size, attendance, cost, etc. You have some of it listed this year and that's
good. To have an overview of each IAB that is actively involved in this event with a good contact
person to call with questions is something to consider. Thanks again for another great year.
27. While the event was good for me, I chose to stay for both events - I believe there is a huge
opportunity to involve the industry for more than one day.
28. Yes, I did enjoy the discussions and learned not only from the speakers but the conversation at our
table during the roundtable.
29. I was able to accomplish the goal above.
30. The event provided an eye opening understanding of the accreditation process and the valued
importance of the SLO's and CLO's to turn out quality candidates for the construction industry.
I got to interact with a lot of IAB/industry people (thanks, Susan!), and got to see what they're doing
31. in their programs, and how they're collaborating w/industry people and other colleges and
universities. Very well-rounded event, and I'll definitely be attending next year.
32. The opportunity to hear from both faculty and IAB members was very educational for me.
33. Good, rapid panel discussions. Afternoon session on the ACCE accreditation process was worthwhile
too.
34. Meeting old friends from other institutions and discussing the various programs.
35. I learned a lot about other schools and what they are doing, I think the first day was the best in
regards to content
36. It is always good to take time to collaborate with peers.
Overall it was interesting listening to the different faculty, industry, and agency participants. I would
37. have preferred more available training for IAB members that have had training under the "old"
assessment and would like to be further trained under the "new" assessment. It may have been that
it was offered but not clearly explained.
38. Excellent invent and great information.
My only comment is that the content seemed to be very similar to last year. I am not sure this is the
39. case every year, but next year I plan to find someone else from the IAB's that i am on to attend to
ensure others get the benefit.
40. great table and speakers talking about what works best for them and little bits of inspiration here and
there.
41. I got to meet department personnel from many different universities.
42. Found out about some good take-aways to bring back to home IAB.

43. Overall the event was positive. As a member of one of the discussion panels I feel we could have done a better job preparing. We had never met one another nor knew what one another's key points were. More prep would be a best practice to increase value for all attendees
- My IAC is taking more responsibility and ownership of the program quality and it has made a
44. considerable positive improvement in the program and a sense of worth I get for doing this job that cannot be overstated.
45. was able to get host school to show up in force
46. Networking
47. I learned so much! This IAB event was such a valuable experience!
48. The energy, the information, the best practices, and the opportunity to network with industry people makes the effort to attend worthwhile.
49. The experiences shared by others help avoid re-inventing the wheel.
50. I took away some of the lessons learned on the strategic plan.
51. Saw key acquaintances
52. Learned more about MIT, qualification process, etc.
53. Networking and industry wide updates
54. While I was unable to attend the morning session, I was still able to hear about some new directions our advisory board may potentially want to go as a direct result of them attending this event.
55. It is always good to see what is taking place in Academics as an industry partner
56. Great information and speakers
57. Enjoyed the information and met some very interesting people.
58. I had very informative conversations with faculty and IAB members from many different schools.
59. The morning session was very good, and provided a lot of useful information. The afternoon session felt very similar to the previous IAB meeting in Tucson.
60. NA
- Honestly, the event didn't seem to be as good as last year. I know that one of the presenters couldn't
61. make it due to personal issues but the replacement presentation was more of the same in regards to the angst surrounding ABET.
- I thought the entire event was awesome - it was very inspiring, it was great to hear such relevant
62. stories from others. I felt I could relate to almost everything discussed. I look forward for an opportunity to get more involved with ACCE, and to attend future events as an Industry Representative.

Total Respondents**62**

- 7.** value in the presentation "Financial Support Strategies in an Era of Constraint and Over-Regulation"?




8. Why or why not? Please explain the value you received or would have liked to.

1. I wasn't present for that.
2. Allen Galloway was inspirational in creating a 501 (c) 3. Andrew Rogers explained how to get \$200K in your IAB's account. Anthony Tracker helped us to understand driving accountability into an IAB.
3. Gave ideas in how to ensure that funds go to where they are intended.
4. It would seem that most programs have an IAB and that they were already aware of what constraints and regulation that must deal with in their specific area of the country.
5. Valuable because funding is always an issue, especially for medium and small programs.
6. Did not seem like presenters were ready. Very weak detail and lacked value in information provided.
7. Good material.
8. good topic. I would have preferred specifics from the first speakers on setting up 501 c. The last guy, Anthony gave some good info I will use.
9. Did not apply to our IAB
This is not what I needed to know yet, but, the presentation didn't lay the groundwork for what issues they were trying to overcome. What was the "Constraint" and what was the "over-regulation" as it impacted the programs or IABs?
10. our IAB was probably on top of this years ago but this helped to reiterate ways to accomplish the goal
11. Informative
12. Did not attend
13. Yes, I am interested in our IAB becoming a non-profit so that was extremely helpful.
14. The value was to gain a general understanding as a 2nd year faculty member.
15. I didn't attend that presentation.
16. We will always be in a resource-constrained and regulated environment. Learning how others approached the situation was very beneficial.
17. I am not strong in this area, maybe it should have been simpler or a different focus?
18. It did not pertain to anything I am involved with.
19. Interesting hearing different perspectives.
20. N/A to myself.
21. Could have been a more polished presentation

- 23. Learned something and my IAC members are considering making some changes.
- 24. commonsense material
- 25. Yes, it was valuable to hear different perspectives.
- 26. The "Financial Support Strategies" presentations was very informative!
- 27. Did not attend this portion.
- 28. It provided ideas on how to raise moneys for academic programs
- 29. We should open up our council to more members to assist with this endeavor.
- 30. I did not attend
- 31. Not at this time
- 32. Topical
- 33. I could not attend this one
- 34. Didnt attend it
- 35. I was unable to attend.

Total Respondents 35

9. value in the presentation "Generating Sustainable Program Growth - Real World Examples"?




Yes
 No

	Response Total	Response Percent
Yes	54	90%
No	6	10%
Total Respondents (skipped this question)	60	56

10. Why or why not? Please explain the value you received or would have liked to.

- 1. .
Charlie Mc Intyre talked about the "value add" of adding to the pipeline, studying abroad programs, 4+1 Masters Programs and external scholarships. Chuck Berryman threw out the idea that LSU is atypical because they actually follow their strategic plan! This is something to watch for in upcoming visits. Erich Connell said how ACCE helps programs, but ABET gives one problem after another. Al Hauck said the challenge is not growth, it's sustainable growth.
- 2. As the University Liaison for the IAC I found this most helpful.
Five panelists instead of three as I recall and what value was the information on how to grow your program to the industry partners. One hour was given to this topic. Came across more as infomercials for the five people that spoke. Little to no time left for question ad answer.
- 3.
- 4.

5. I enjoyed hearing about the successes, best practices and things that didn't work out exactly as planned. Excellent opportunity to learn.
6. Marketing and branding examples.
7. It was helpful, though I wasn't sure that the presentation matched up to what I thought it was going to be.
8. Same as previous answer.....lacked structure and value.
9. This presentation gave me lots of ideas for our program.
10. Again a great topic but I think this is the guy that was turning away members which is the opposite of my situation and every one else I visited with at the event.
11. This hit on how the IAB needs to be on board with the Program's strategic plan for growth and that they need to work together to "work the plan".
12. liked branding and marketing ideas
13. Informative
14. loved the examples
15. While this was valuable to me, I believe that quite a few of the IAB Boards are only used largely for fundraising - I believe there is a huge value in the IAB process that does not just involve fundraising.
16. Again, the value was to gain a general understanding as the 2nd year faculty member.
Construction is a cyclical industry and student enrollment tends to mirror the demand from industry.
17. Managing the declines and growth in enrollment are challenges for academic programs, and this panel provided interesting suggestions.
18. Again, this was a periphery discussion but was interesting hearing the growth these programs developed over the year and the ability to sustain it's growth.
19. this was amazing.
20. N/A TO MYSELF
21. I think there were many different approaches so it indicated one shoe does not fit all! Good to know!
22. should assist programs and their IABs in planning
23. It was well presented - good information on strategies to strengthen our CM programs.
24. The "Generating Sustainable Program Growth" presentation was very informative!
25. Did not attend this session.
26. The presentation focus was on growth and recruiting efforts used by others.
27. I did not attend
28. None
29. Relevant examples
30. Our IAB said this provided some additional direction
31. Great panle
32. Dont recall attending
33. Very valuable.
I think there is significant value in the approach of "Professors of Practice". What better way can there be to get real-time industry influence into college programs, than to bring a mixture of
34. professionals straight into the classroom. From discussion with several colleges faculty, they saw this category of professorship, as the leading way to handle sudden influx of enrollment, and to smooth out faculty needs.

Total Respondents 34**11.** value in the presentation "Converting your IAB from Passive to Active Support"?

Yes

No

	Response Total	Response Percent
Yes	47	81%
No	11	19%
Total Respondents	58	
(skipped this question)		58

12. Why or why not? Please explain the value you received or would have liked to.

1. .
2. No great take-aways except industry hires students before they graduate and some never finish on line.
3. Was this the one that had one program talking about how much they charge their industry partners for access to their students? There was a price tag for several difference things in that program. Is the metric for passive and active support measured by how much money a program gets from their industry partners?
4. Very professional. Valuable information.
5. Ideas on how to go beyond just meeting to engaging.
6. I was one of the presenters. This was helpful as it opened up feedback and suggestions from the rest of the attendees.
7. One panelist had examples, but it was just because his director at university was holding them accountable.....others just rambled nonsense.
8. I fully intend to share this presentation with the core of our IAB.
9. Excellent topic but not really anything I wrote down that I think I can use.
10. Major issue with my IAB!
11. Because my IAB is extremely passive, no matter how much I work to get them engaged.
12. I found this to be the most valuable session based on where I am in my learning about IABs. The panel talked about their real life experiences with getting engaged and active and how and why that is helpful. Very valuable for what I needed to learn, and this session was probably by itself worth my trip.
13. good ideas
14. Some information was of value but parts of the presentation lacked a full explanation
15. Informative
16. did not attend
17. This IAB has a program director that is with their program and supports their IAB. It would be a lot


easier for any IAB if they had strong support from the University/college. Therefore, it seemed like nothing creative was done to make the IAB "active".

18. The presentation stress the value and great importance of the IAB. I was also excited to learn how other programs structure the IAB at their schools.
19. Getting IAB members to actually participate.
20. Engaging IAB members in activities that support the academic programs is very important. This panel provided interesting insights.
21. The best of the bunch
22. My IAC is already a very active one.
23. i did not attend
24. Typical struggle most programs endure. - The most memorable comment by a presenter was that IAB not fall into the trap of becoming "pecking chickens" in lieu of "flying like an eagle". The metaphor is a clear example of avoiding complacency for industry boards.
The first two speakers were full of trite statements with little substance and I could not understand what the Director of that program was saying, rambling and diverging and never stating any take aways! It was insulting to have industry professional taking their time to hear a lot about nothing!
25. valuable for all of the orgs sitting on the fence
26. It brought together ideas to create momentum for active support.
27. The "Converting your IAB" presentation was very informative!
28. Lots of good ideas to take back to my university.
29. Ours is an active board.
30. I did not attend
31. None
32. Practical applications
33. Our IAB is looking to integrate some of these ideas
34. Great presentation
35. Very valuable.
36. Every program needs an active IAB!

37. The story presented by the IAB, is so relevant to our own IABs experience. We struggle with understanding our exact "authority" and involvement with our college. This story helped shed light on how in a time of crisis or change, that need for involvement became much clearer. Hopefully many IABs can learn from their story, so that should their college experience a sudden change, the IAB can help support the program, offering a sense of consistency while any changes get figured out.

Total Respondents 38

- 13.** value in the presentation "High Impact Internships: Graduating Industry-Ready Professionals"?




14. Why or why not? Please explain the value you received or would have liked to.

1. Kendall Pouland gives interns assignments... great idea! Sterling King gave me a tidbit that helps: cater the internship to the student's individual goals.
2. Scheduling a 30 minute panel discussion with three people directly after a 15 minute break short changed one of the more valuable sessions and topics. This needs to be scheduled again but allow more time for questions and answers. Also, the topic does not need any slides. There was in general too many slides in the presentations.
3. All internship programs take on a life of their own. It was beneficial to hear from a variety of people.
4. Good perspective on what other organizations are doing.
5. Barely found value, most bragged about themselves.
6. good material.
7. This was good, not so much for any new info but to hear that no one has any creative initiatives in their programs that I am not already doing.
8. Should be a priority for all construction programs.
9. We want graduates ready to work. Learning what others do and how IABs can help was good information.
10. yep need them ready like the ideas
11. did not attend
12. It was interesting to hear the internship programs from these employers. Each student usually has a different experience. Some larger companies have such a well planned program that the student really receives a lot of exposure compared to some smaller companies who do not have a plan for each student.
13. Thee goal of each of our colleges it to produce high quality students. the ACCE helps ensure that will happen
14. Had a conflicting requirement and was unable to attend,
15. ABC members are always looking for good interns.
16. Many good ideas.
17. Internships are critical to students success in the classroom and on the AIC exam
18. I think it was good.
19. The "High Impact Internships" presentation was very informative!

- 20. Explained contents of internship and the process used for monitoring them.
- 21. I did not attend
- 22. None
- 23. Wentworth has a great internship program now, interesting to see what other schools have or haven't done
- 24. Our IAB already has proposed some questions to the faculty about this after this event.
- 25. didnt attend
- 26. I don't remember this one.

Total Respondents 26

15. value in the presentation "Converting your IAB from Passive to Active Support"?



- Yes
- No

	Response Total	Response Percent
Yes	45	79%
No	12	21%
Total Respondents (skipped this question)	57	59


16. Why or why not? Please explain the value you received or would have liked to.

- 1. Charlie Mc Intyre said the IAB saved his program! Tulio Sulbaran sought "go getters" for his IAB and stated the value of Eagle Scouts! Wes Johnson explained we need skilleed workers who fit into our culture... very true! Greg Wiatrek's comment "if not you, who?" really hit home. He said "I don't know alot, but I know a whole lot of people."
- 2. already answered
- 3. This question has already been answered
- 4. Same question, same answer as before.
- 5. This is here twice.
- 6. Isnt this the same as #11???
- 7. Repeat - Major issue with my IAB!
I found this to be the most valuable session based on where I am in my learning about IABs. The panel talked about their real life experiences with getting engaged and active and how and why that is helpful. Very valuable for what I needed to learn, and this session was probably by itself worth my trip.
- 8.
- 9. same
- 10. Some of the presentation was not supported by data

- 11. did not attend
- 12. Repeat question
- 13. I previously responded to this question.
- 14. My IAC is already very active
- 15. I did not attend
- 16. Awesome information and great presenters.
- 17. Nothing much was said, in many use of words that were so vague it became babble.
- 18. focus on the priorities
- 19. As I mentioned before - ideas that would strengthen the relationship between industry and academics.
- 20. The "Converting your IAB" presentation was very informative!
- 21. This is a perennial problem for many programs. Some really good ideas.
- 22. Already an active board
- 23. I did not attend
- 24. NA
- 25. Wentworth is already doing several items mentioned
- 26. as explained earlier
- 27. didnt attend

Total Respondents 27

17. Track 1 A



- Yes
- No

	Response Total	Response Percent
	15	48%
	16	52%
Total Respondents	31	
(skipped this question)		85

Conditional Question Answers Response ID: 88890

If so, was Visitor Team Training of value to you, please explain.

- 1. No, have completed it before but never invited to be on a visiting team.

Conditional Question Answers Response ID: 88911

If so, was Visitor Team Training of value to you, please explain.

1. Yes, I think I will do this one day

Conditional Question Answers Response ID: 88929

If so, was Visitor Team Training of value to you, please explain.

1. yes...good refresher

Conditional Question Answers Response ID: 88935

If so, was Visitor Team Training of value to you, please explain.

1. Yes

Conditional Question Answers Response ID: 88962

If so, was Visitor Team Training of value to you, please explain.

1. Yes

Conditional Question Answers Response ID: 88981

If so, was Visitor Team Training of value to you, please explain.

1. The training was very informative - AI did a great job.

Conditional Question Answers Response ID: 89509

If so, was Visitor Team Training of value to you, please explain.

1. Learned the process to get on the list

Conditional Question Answers Response ID: 89520

If so, was Visitor Team Training of value to you, please explain.

1. yes

Conditional Question Answers Response ID: 89527

If so, was Visitor Team Training of value to you, please explain.

1. Yes. It helped to discuss a visit from the visitor team perspective after recently completing a visit from the college perspective.

Conditional Question Answers Response ID: 89637

If so, was Visitor Team Training of value to you, please explain.

1. Yes - I am interested in taking part in this involvement


18. Why or why not? Please explain the value you received or would have liked to.

1. I attended Track One-B. (It needs a better name!)
2. Did not attend
3. Change the name to something more descriptive: Initial Visiting Team Training, or Training to become a visiting team member
4. I have been an industry observer and think I can add value as a member of the team.
5. n/a did not attend
6. did not attend

- 7. Had a conflicting requirement and was unable to attend.
- 8. Was already aware of this.
- 9. I did not attend
- 10. Attended Track One - B
- 11. Track One - B
- 12. PLEASE ignore response. I should have left blank
- 13. already trained
- 14. I did not attend
- 15. None
- 16. Didn't attend
- 17. I would like to be involved
- 18. This wasn't the actual presentation...presenter wasn't able to make event.

Total Respondents 18

19. Track 1 B



- Yes
- No

	Response Total	Response Percent
Yes	24	71%
No	10	29%
Total Respondents	34	


20. Why or why not? Please explain the value you received or would have liked to.

- 1. This is the session that was cancelled.
Al Hauck and Chuck Berryman did a great job replacing James Jones who could not attend. Al said "we control 90% of your budget. A/E's control 10%. Who do you want to have a code of ethics?"
- 2. Chuck said that the AC exam takes out 12 SLO's. Maybe we should look at a different set of SLO's for schools that require the AC exam?
- 3. Did not attend
- 4. It was of value, great presenter. All information was on point as I had gone thru accreditation visit previously. Learned nothing knew, although I feel most in room received value for information given.
- 5. Very good information
- 6. It helped me understand better what the Program goes through and how an IAB can support it. And how an IAB can help support on the other side of an assessment.
- 7. good ideas to bring to our university to prepare them for visit

- 8. A few things covered in the presentation were of value but much of the information was not new to me.
- 9. your three minutes are up
- 10. Great info on what I can expect at our visit next year.
- 11. Was unable to attend this session.
I think we need real in depth training with examples and practice. A brief overview of the process is good, but in order to truly be a visiting team participant I don't feel after this training I would have valuable knowledge and be comfortable assessing another school.
- 12. I did not attend
This was a bit disappointing. I felt the topic was not covered to the degree that I had anticipated. This was one of the main reasons for attending the event. Hopefully this topic will be covered more in depth in future events.
- 13. Did not attend.
- 14. The "Understanding and Preparing for Accreditation Assessment" presentation was very informative!
- 15. The accreditation is changing so much in the last few years that any exposure to the current situation is helpful.
- 16. Lets an industry member understand assessment process. Direct versus indirect
- 17. I did not attend
- 18. Didn't attend
- 19. It helped us understand requirements
- 20. Interesting as I am an Adjunct

Total Respondents 22

21. Track 2



- Yes
- No

	Response Total	Response Percent
Yes	44	79%
No	12	21%
Total Respondents	56	

22. Why or why not? Please explain the value you received or would have liked to.


- 1. Mike Stark pitched AGC... they should be members! Haley Moyers described ABC's student competitions and student chapters. (I was the third speaker... no comment on my presentation.)
- 2. Did not attend
- 3. Needs more industry involvement. One thought is to lay out how industry professionals can aspire to

be professors of practice at some point. Hearing that more programs are using professors of practice was good news.

4. Great to hear from our industry associations.
5. Ideas on how to engage industry associations
6. Did not attend this one. Survey would not let me move past.....without an answer...???
7. It helped me understand we can leverage Industry Associations.
8. partening
9. your three minutes are up
10. It was helpful to learn about what industry associations are doing and how we can utilize some of their programs within the school.
11. Was unable to attend this session.
12. did not attend
13. I did not attend
14. Good presentation.
15. It would not be fair for me to say because I was unable to attend. I would have selected NA.
16. Not really sure.
17. The "Industry Association and Involvement" presentation was very informative!
18. More opportunity to learn something about what and why other programs are doing.
19. Did not participate
20. I did not attend
21. None
22. Understanding what other schools are doing
23. Provided a platform for our IAB to discuss more involvement
24. Great panel with useful information
25. didnt attend

Total Respondents 25

23. value in the presentation "Round Table Discussions"?



Yes
 No

	Response Total	Response Percent
Yes	36	65%
No	19	35%
Total Respondents	55	


24. Why or why not? Please explain the value you received or would have liked to.

1. I didn't attend
2. Had great conversations with the group at our table, which represented both academia and industry.
3. I didn't see value in this. Sorry!
4. Did not attend
5. The roundtables lacked instruction and facilitation. Having no report-out gives no real purpose for them.
6. Good to share ideas with other industry professionals.
7. Had to take a phone call.....was not very organized....people talking to hear themselves talk.....
8. good comments from the table participants.
9. Candidly you waited too long to send this survey. I don't have the agenda and don't recall exactly which discussion this was.
It was good to talk amongst our table about what was presented. If time allows, maybe 5-10 minutes of this after each session would be beneficial just to be able to verbalize with others what was just discussed. Talking out loud helps spur additional thoughts sometimes.
10. yep had great discussion
11. Unfortunately, the group I sat with seemed to use the time to discuss many topics that I didn't believe were related to what we were asked to do during our time together.
12. Yes, talking with other professionals is helpful to discuss new ideas and current struggles.
13. Was unable to attend this session.
14. what discussions?
15. not much discussed here as time was too short.
16. I did not attend
17. Was able to discuss varied topics presented during the event. Good way to close the event.
18. I could not attend this session
19. It would not be fair for me to say because I was unable to attend. I would have selected NA.
20. Not sure.
21. I found the "Round Table Discussions" presentation very informative!
- 22.

- 23. Did not attend.
- 24. Could not participate
- 25. I did not attend
- 26. Very good opportunity networking with others that work in both academia and industry
- 27. Didn't attend
- 28. Gave perspective of IABs from other programs
- 29. very good presenters
- 30. Getting perspectives from faculty and IAB members from other schools was invaluable.
- 31. These were great discussions, and I felt the group reached new ideas to take back and put into practice around the discussion topic chosen.

Total Respondents 31

25. value in the "Closing Remarks"?



- Yes
- No

	Response Total	Response Percent
Yes	31	56%
No	24	44%
Total Respondents	55	

26. Why or why not? Please explain the value you received or would have liked to.

- 1. Short and sweet--- stay involved and come back.
- 2. Did not attend
- 3. Whereas etc. is not necessary. It feels awkward when all that is necessary are the thanks for attendance and sponsorship, notice of the next program dates, solicitation of future topic ideas etc. A conversation would be better.
- 4. Seemed more procedural than anything.....by this point everyone was worried about happy hour and how to get there.....or in my case why I drove 12 hours for such little value.....and how I was going to make 6 hours of driving short as possible...:0
- 5. I appreciated the spirit of the entire event, which was captured in the closing remarks.
- 6. Not so much value in the closing remarks but yes in creating the discussion for good and suggestions at the tables.
- 7. Great event as always. Looking forward to Jacksonville.
- 8. I don't think Value is a good question for closing remarks. You have to do them, so it is what it is.
- 9. kept people engaged

10. Just was "state of business" did not provide value. Although I understand it needed to occur to wrap the day up.
11. Was unable to attend this session.
12. Always look forward to hearing what Charlie has to tell us.
13. I did not go
14. I did not attend
15. I could not attend this session
16. Always like this part.
17. I had to leave.
18. I really appreciated the closing remarks!
19. Always good to draw the event to a summary conclusion.
20. Could not participate due to another meeting
21. I did not attend
22. Did not attend
23. Didn't attend, a long day
24. didnt attend

Total Respondents 24

27. Please give your opinion on various other items, i.e., Registration Process, Breakfast, Lunch, Breaks, Dinner, Overall Venue, etc.?


1. It was well organized event
2. Venue was great. Nice Hotel with first class service. Meals were great.
3. ACCE always does a good job. I attended as a faculty member, but had very good discussions with out AB member who attended. For that reason it was successful.
Very nice venue, expensive cab rides, easy check in, clear name tags, informative binder which I
4. kept, good interpersonal discussions, very pleasant wait staff, clean rooms close to meeting location, unnecessary hot bar at short reception, delicious LONG dinner at Maggiano's with a very long walk
5. I felt that the event was very well run and had extremely helpful information.
6. All the above was excellent. No adverse comments on any of the hosting features or functions.
Venue was nice an adequately gave us room to spread out and break out for the afternoon sessions.
7. Registration was well organized, quick and the handouts were terrific. Food was good. Dinner was excellent. One of the panels was completely off topic (the online education panel). Panels need to do a better job coordinating in advance.
8. Venue was great!
9. Overall was very good. I am a little lost on what courses I sign up for as I register early and then forget somewhat what I registered for.
10. Food and setting was perfect for this event.
11. the networking was very important.
12. Very well organized and good location for me.
13. The meals over dinner were not the best
14. All was fine.

15. Thumbs up on all of the event components.
16. Like the new online registration process. Food was great and plenty of it!
17. Everything was great. However, more of your speakers should be from different IABs. I did not come to hear about other CM Departments. I wanted more info from IABs.
18. After industry left (day 2), the food and drink options were poor.
19. Overall this was good. Registration, breakfast & lunch were nice. I didn't stay for dinner.
20. venue for me was well run since i have been to quite a few some repetitive stuff but enjoyed the whole day!
21. Registration process was a bit shaky and we experienced some issues trying to get registered.
22. Well organized
23. I think that there should be a discount for attending both sessions. Also lunch could be a working session.
24. Well planned, adequate food/snacks, beautiful evening dinner. Overall very well organized.
25. Enjoyed it all
26. IT was a great program , I look forward to the next conference.
Breakfast and lunch were great. Loved the cooler w/water, pop, and Red Bull (mostly this!) available
27. all day. I thought the overall venue was wonderful. I stayed at the hotel, so everything was on the 2nd floor.
28. All of these activities were fine.
29. Solid
Breakfast was not a good one, Lunch was ok and a great dinner, as always.
30. Overall found the presentations to be somewhat repetitive. Would like to hear what the industry expects to be doing in the next 10 to 20 years, what will be changing and what construction education needs to do today to prepare for it.
31. Food was great, venue was great, would like more buffet style to allow for more time in between things/ down time
32. Food, venue, all other were good.
33. Overall everything else was well prepared, propagandized and timely. Moderator kept things moving along.
34. No issues
35. The facility was fantastic. I thought the dinner location was a bit far away. We walked and typically don't have transportation so closer locations would be more desirable.
36. food was great, hotel was super clean. wifi just worked. no rooms left when I registered.
37. Everything was well planned out. I liked the hotel and food spots.
38. good
39. All good. Sometimes the audience questions need to be repeated so everyone in the audience can hear. Or have the presenters repeat the questions.
40. all good
41. Very well managed - user friendly and helpful.
42. Everything was great!
43. All appeared to go well. The venue was excellent.
44. Registration process needs serious improvements

- 45. Registration process was simple, organized
- 46. Good venue for out of our out of town folks, downtown not ideal for those in suburbs of Houston (traffic, construction, etc.)
- 47. Venue was great
- 48. nothing to add
- 49. great meeting
- 50. Great hotel
- 51. everything was well organized
- 52. Registration was easy. Food was great. Venue was great as well.
- 53. registration was botched...myself and my IAB chair were not on the list.
- 54. Everything was fantastic.

Total Respondents 54

28. Would you consider attending another ACCE IAB event next year?



Yes
 No

	Response Total	Response Percent
Yes	52	96%
No	2	4%
Total Respondents	54	

29. How many attended

	Yes	No	Response Total
2010 Albuquerque	5.56% (3)0% (0)	94.44% (51)0% (0)	
2011 Ft. Lauderdale	5.66% (3)0% (0)	94.34% (50)0% (0)	
2012 Phoenix	7.55% (4)0% (0)	92.45% (49)0% (0)	
2013 Baton Rouge	15.09% (8)0% (0)	84.91% (45)0% (0)	
2014 Tampa	13.21% (7)0% (0)	86.79% (46)0% (0)	
2015 Long Beach	16.98% (9)0% (0)	83.02% (44)0% (0)	
2016 Mobile	24.53% (13)0% (0)	75.47% (40)0% (0)	
2017 Orlando	33.96% (18)0% (0)	66.04% (35)0% (0)	
2018 Tucson	48.15% (26)0% (0)	51.85% (28)0% (0)	
This is my first IAB Event	42.59% (23)0% (0)	57.41% (31)0% (0)	
		Total Respondents	54

