

**2015 ACCE Industry Advisory Board Event
Long Beach, California**

Final Report

Executive Summary

The sixth annual ACCE Industry Advisory Board (IAB) Event was held on Wednesday, February 18, 2015 at the ACCE Mid-Year Meeting in Long Beach, California. This event was attended by eighty-five (85) individuals, including: industry representatives, academics (administrators and faculty), ACCE organization members, guest speakers and panelists.

The summary of the revenue and expenses for this event, provided in the tables below, includes information from the past three IAB Events. The Participant Evaluation Survey for the 2015 IAB Event can be found in the following sections of this report.

Table 1. Financial Summary (2012-2015)							
2015 IAB Event		2014 IAB Event		2013 IAB Event		2012 IAB Event	
Revenue	\$ 24,200.00*	Revenue	\$26,530.00	Revenue	\$20,970.00	Revenue	\$16,100.00
Expenses	\$ 18,973.22	Expenses	\$14,715.05	Expenses	\$20,551.44	Expenses	\$13,296.74
Balance	\$ 5,226.78	Balance	\$11,814.95	Balance	\$ 418.56	Balance	\$ 2,803.26

Table 2. Financial Details 2015 IAB Event			
Revenues		Expenses	
Registrations	\$ 10,990.00	Dinner Deposit	\$ 700.00
Sponsorships	\$ 14,850.00	Hotel Food	\$ 9,908.99
		Hotel AV	\$ 1,331.86
		Dinner (actual minus deposit)	\$ 3,223.89
		Printing	\$ 2,876.48
Total Gross Revenues	\$ 25,840.00	Clerical Assistance	\$ 500.00
Outstanding Revenues (03/25/15)	(\$ 1,640.00)	Credit card processing	\$ 432.00
Total Adjusted Revenues *	\$ 24,200.00	Total Expenses	\$ 18,973.22
Current Balance (03/25/15)		\$ 5,226.78	

Respectfully Submitted,

Charles McIntyre, Co-Chair IAB Task Force
Susan Labas, Co-Chair IAB Task Force

2015 IAB Event – Long Beach, CA Participant Evaluation

1. What is your current field of employment?

	Response Total	Response Percent
Academic Administration	4	13%
Association	1	3%
Faculty	10	33%
Industry/Construction Professional	10	33%
Manufacturer or Supplier	2	7%
Retired, Academic	0	0%
Retired, Industry	3	10%
Retired, Other	0	0%
Other	0	0%
Total Respondents		30

2. Are you a current member of ACCE?

	Response Total	Response Percent
Yes! I am a current Member	17	57%
No, but I used to be	0	0%
No, but I am considering it	0	0%
No, I am faculty	9	30%
No	4	13%
Total Respondents		30

3. How did you learn about the IAB Event?

	Response Total	Response Percent
Directly from an ACCE email	17	57%
ACCE Website	3	10%
Word of mouth from a Colleague	1	3%
Forwarded email from a Colleague	0	0%
Word of mouth from my IAB Chair	3	10%
Forwarded email from the Program Chair of my IAB	4	13%
Other	2	7%
Total Respondents		30

4. Why did you decide to attend the 2015 IAB Event?

1. I am President of our IAB (LSU) and wanted to share as well as receive information about improving Industry Advisory Boards.
2. To improve our department performance in association with IAB and ACCE accreditation
3. Support Industry involvement
4. I attend to support Dunwoody and to share ideas with other industry and academic professionals.
5. SLO is my school and the Dept. Head asked a few of the board members to attend.
6. All previous events have been beneficial - not only good networking, but always learned something useful I can take back.
7. To improve the IAB
8. To support the goals of ACCE

9. I wanted to attend with the incoming IAB President.
10. To obtain information on how to improve our program's IAB
11. It is critical to involve NAHB builder members in IABs around the country.
12. I believe it helps support my ISB and school
13. Certainly interested in having a more active IAB.
14. Best practices that can be applied to IAB.
15. I would like to learn how to improve our IAB involvement in our program quality performance activities.
16. I have attended past four years and I helped with planning committee this year. Our university has had a self-governing IAB since 2004, and I bring one of our IAB members each year.
17. I like to keep up with the ongoing growth of this effort and discover ideas from other IABs and colleagues.
18. I attend this event every year since it started. Always interested in learning from others how to improve the IAC or IAB as some call it.
19. I enjoy "staying in the game" I am helping our CM program by learning more about the Accreditation procedures, learning about how other IABs work and getting contacts in the construction world that can help with my company
20. Full gathering of minds from industry...a no brainer for me.
21. Attended in the past
22. I am an Industry professional who cares about the future of our construction education programs. I am not concerned for myself, already having the degree and experience, my future is bright in the industry, construction management students are not a dime a dozen currently like some other degrees, and my main interest is to ensure that all construction management students and programs are held with high regard. For myself, my current colleagues, and friends in construction are all successful, and competent representatives of our amazing industry. This industry is a team, the entire thing, nation-wide, and I want to make sure that my future colleagues, team mates, and employees I supervise have the same credentials, abilities, and know how that the current graduates can offer the industry.
23. Get updated on IAB activities
24. Wanted to see what was going on with IABs nationally.
25. Interest in promoting active IAB representation at a local program.
26. Al Hauck thought it would be a worthwhile event to attend since the Cal Poly CM Industry advisory Board is transitioning to a self-governing board.
27. To better our local IAB and possibly add value to other IAB's through discussions at the event.
28. I was encouraged by the CM Department chair, and was happy to learn how I can help to improve our IAB.
29. We have a new IAB and wanted to get more information on how to organize our IAB.
30. I am a new IAB chairman and was invited by our Program Chairman. IAB members need to be more involved with our students and have increased participation during our meetings.

5. Was this event of value to you?

1. Very valuable. Really enjoyed interacting with other members.
2. The one day program was really full of interesting as well as essential for us, for example how to evaluate IAB performance etc.
3. Yes, good to network with other industry people and learn best practices
4. Overall, this event was very valuable. The content, for the most part, was relevant to the needs of our IAB.
5. To see the process.
6. Good ideas, best practice discussions (especially morning panel discussion); Very much enjoyed keynote speaker (Benson - Leading Your IAB Team) General networking – maintaining and building partner relationships. Presentation on ACCE Benefits was good, but would have been more helpful had it focused more directly on comparing ACCE to ABET requirements/consequences. Nevertheless, took away a couple of strong points that will aid in continuing to support ACCE over ABET.
7. It allowed myself and the IAB President to learn what other boards were doing and what they are capable of doing.
8. It was a value for the IAB Chair I brought along.
9. General knowledge gained about IAB's from the event.
10. Was valuable indeed, we invited two of our IAB members to the event - feedback from one member was that the event was too long. We discovered during the event that our IAB must have an action plan in place - which we currently do not have.

11. It has so much potential. I would like to see more break-out sessions and deep dives and less panels.
12. The main discussion points of ACCE vs. ABET accreditation. Pluses and minuses of both.
13. Very informative, thanks!
14. Overall very good event.
15. I had a chance to learn more about other construction management programs and their best practices with their IAB.
16. Although we have a very active and highly engaged IAB, I have learned from others at each of these events as we share best practices. It's a good environment for both faculty and IABs to network and learn from one another.
17. Networking with my colleagues and other IAB institutional members.
18. Chance to meet others and exchange ideas.
19. See above
20. It helped me to understand CM into ABET and it made me realize we need to work with a sense of urgency. Much has to be collaboratively accomplished to offset this misguided effort by CMAA.
21. N/A
22. This event was a valuable tool in networking, meeting new contacts from other organizations, and working with people from around the country on setting goals, tasks, and benchmarks for how we are going to keep the industry growing, and how we will further the learning experience of future graduates from the construction management programs.
23. This event was very valuable
24. Great value. I always take away new information from these events.
25. Valuable for promoting interest, more limited value on developing mechanics for becoming more effective.
26. It was interesting to hear from other advisory board leaders about the challenges and successes that they have.
27. I enjoy hearing the things others do and finding ways to implement them into our IAB. I particularly like the morning session. The afternoon session would be more valuable if it was less focused and more freestyle. We need time to be able to openly discuss things. Lunch was a great time to be able to do this. You'll notice the attendance was lower. This indicates a weakness in the agenda.
28. The event provided a good benchmark for our group. I felt there were many simple items provided that we can do to improve our advisory board.
29. This event was valuable due to the opportunity to meet and discuss lessons learned with other IABs
30. This event was very informative and met all of my expectations.

6. Was the "High Impact" Industry Advisory Board presentation of value to you?

	Response Total	Response Percent
Yes	25	86%
No	4	14%
Total Respondents		29

7. Why or why not? Please explain the value you received or would have liked to.

1. Learned where our IAB was in comparison to other groups.
2. I liked the sharing of best practices and the ability to take information back.
3. Too much repetition from last year. Too much deviation from the stated purpose of the presentation.
4. Well, yes and no. When this presentation was given last year (or perhaps year before?), it contained more specific information and tools that we could use - which I found very helpful. This time, there was good general information, but nothing really new.
5. Reinforces the belief in the IAB effectiveness that we can do some of the things others are doing
6. Learned from Best Practices
7. This was the same message two years in a row, ineffective both times. Remove this from the schedule.
8. Serves to identify items that have the greatest impact on IAB success.
9. Had some interesting ideas.
10. Explained the blueprint to establishing and continuing an effective IAB
11. It provided many useful insights.

12. Heard the same information from last year and the presentation did not follow what was in the program.
13. All of the points made were great. It was cool to see everyone working together to achieve the same goals, and brainstorming processes to implement the goals established.
14. I provided interesting information
15. Provided me with ideas to suggest to my IAB.
16. I compared what we currently do to the suggestions of the presentation. I would like more of the details of the operation. How many members make a good Board? At what point does it make sense to have an Executive Board? Dues? Who does the communication? How are the priorities developed; by the Department Coordinator, Dean, IAB? Can there be a template for developing an IAB? How does the CM IAB compare to other successful IAB's from other disciplines?
17. Information!!!
18. Great tools and ideas to jump-start our improvement process.

8. Was the IAB Veterans Viewpoint - Ideas, Information and Lessons Learned presentation of value to you?

	Response Total	Response Percent
Yes	27	93%
No	2	7%
Total Respondents		29

9. Why or why not? Please explain the value you received or would have liked to.

1. Odd that some on the panel are from schools not yet accredited.
2. As a panelist, the interaction among us created tremendous learning opportunities.
3. Good best practices discussions
4. It allowed us to learn from other IABs.
5. We received a fair value for the time spent.
6. Some good information.
7. Somewhat. I think you should do buzz groups. Let individuals choose topics such as: fundraising, politics, alumni relations, etc.
8. Two of the members were not even accredited yet. I felt that such inclusion was misplaced and perhaps misleading to them--given that they may not have received accreditation later that week. Having visited one of the programs and spoken with their board, I was shocked to see them being identified as a model for all others.
9. Always looking for how others are doing
10. Being a speaker I also learned about how other boards function, how they are organized and their strengths and weaknesses
11. Hearing lessons learned from others is always beneficial.
12. Good information
13. Experience and wisdom dating back longer than I've been in the industry is the most valuable asset I could possibly have as a new professional and ACCE member.
14. It was very informative
15. Made me feel like I was not alone. I could share with other IABs my concerns and successes.
16. I felt there was too much reflection on the past and not a focus on the future. I understand the value of telling the story of the success, but I'd like to know where you go in the future. What is trending for the next 5 years and how can we be a part of it.
17. The panel discussion was great- lots of information on strategic planning, accountability and interaction within the CM department.
18. Lessons Learned - sharing of information (bylaws)

10. Was the ACCE Accreditation Presentation value to you?

	Response Total	Response Percent
Yes	25	86%
No	4	14%
Total Respondents		29

11. Why or why not? Please explain the value you received or would have liked to.

1. However, a little too short and sort seemed like an afterthought. A promotional item should be created for us to take back to our colleagues and network that states many of the same things.
2. Yes, I took away a couple of good points that will aide in continuing to support ACCE over ABET. However, would have been more helpful had it focused more directly on comparing ACCE to ABET requirements/consequences.
3. I already knew them through the accreditation process.
5. Already knew the information.
6. There really wasn't much I hadn't already known or used. Having it consolidated into one presentation was helpful, though.
7. I know we are going to have a big problem with this.
8. Will help me and our school understand the ever changing program of ACCE
9. It helped to better define how ACCE has establish the "bar" for construction education. Accreditation is unmatched (even with ABET looming around the corner) as ACCE is a fully collaborative effort from industry and academia
10. Better understanding of ACCE
11. My organization is well regarded in our area, and has been for a very long time. The name of our school on your resume has gotten you a job for decades. The owners of many of the largest construction companies, including Mort Mortenson graduated from my school. However, with an increasing amount of graduates coming into the field from other schools, I think the ACCE accreditation is or will be the bottom line criteria to establish the best schools.
12. It provided very tangible and quantifiable benefits
13. I have been involved in the process for so long that most of the information was not new. As a faculty member, that was expected.
14. As a long time ACCE member this was not new. There may be an opportunity to split the attendees into several different groups to focus on a wider range of topics for various interests.
15. Not too much my firm. The company will benefit by hiring graduates who are more capable of working than today's graduate. The Program benefits because you assure a minimum set of skills are taught for graduation. It's imperative to have accreditation.
16. Prior to the event, I had no idea that the ABET was attempting to enter into the Construction Management world. The presentation was informative.
17. The ability to share the importance of the IAB with other IAB members

12. Was the Reorganization: Industry Representatives on the Board of Trustees presentation of value to you?

	Response Total	Response Percent
Yes	27	93%
No	2	7%
Total Respondents		29

13. Why or why not? Please explain the value you received or would have liked to.

1. New information about possible opportunities to get involved
2. Short, sweet update
3. We supported re-organization all the way
4. Good overview
5. Reminded me that I need to complete this task.
6. Let us know that industry is as much a part of the organization as the educators
7. Helps understand other opinions
8. I have better understanding of the Board's structure.
9. Good to hear industries voice at the meeting.
10. Input of veterans and trustees is very necessary for new members
11. It help me understand ACCE better
12. Not an industry member.
13. Shows the importance of industry leadership

14. Was the Keynote: Tools To Help You Lead Your IAB Team presentation of value to you?

		Response Total	Response Percent
Yes		26	90%
No		3	10%
Total Respondents		29	

15. Why or why not? Please explain the value you received or would have liked to.

1. Very good speaker. Enjoyed the group activities
2. Really enjoyed his message.
3. Very energizing and a thoughtful way to make the point about team structure, diversity and the characteristics of individual team members.
4. Very enjoyable - good, useful information that was hit home through "well played" exercises.
5. Practical ideas.
6. I guess not since four weeks later I can't recall it. Sorry.
7. Again, ideas that we could use
8. Effective speaker who made it fun
9. Extremely helpful "tools"!!
10. Had heard him speak previously.
11. More new material to share.
12. It was fine. I felt it was more leadership training and some people don't need this. This time could be used differently.
13. Very engaging presentation that provided good tools on working with a team and becoming accountable to each other on the IAB.
14. good guidelines

16. Did you find value in *your* Roundtable discussions?

		Response Total	Response Percent
Yes		21	78%
No		6	22%
Total Respondents		27	

17. Why or why not? Please explain the value you received or would have liked to.

1. The energy level and participation fell apart during the afternoon
2. yes, but we did digress a bit
3. NA
4. Some good information
5. This varies year to year. I think just let the group have one topic and let them get to know each other and share ideas.
6. The exchange of ideas and different views on common subjects.
7. Hard to say as I was on the panel.
8. Mikael and I were the more experienced members and I think the others liked our input and they had good questions
9. It allowed me to see how others valued the different topics. It was interesting.
10. Good time to share information
11. Bouncing ideas of other professionals and getting input on what has been successful for them is great.
12. The topic and conversation were very interesting.
13. I was called away from this session.
14. Having round table discussion time would be fantastic, but pair like sized or similar type IAB members with each other. Or have each IAB member complete a small survey before the event of what their IAB is like so other attendees can try to sit with them during the round table discussion. I'm trying to find out how to grow my IAB so I'd want to sit with XXX University. Or I'm trying to lay out a 5 year plan, so I'd like to find out how XXX University does that.
15. Provided an opportunity to see what other IABs are doing

18. Do you think the basic concept of Roundtable discussions is of value?

	Response Total	Response Percent
Yes	25	93%
No	2	7%
Total Respondents		27

19. Why or why not? Please explain.

1. Good to get into detail local discussions
2. This was a highlight as well. The new structure was very well organized.
3. Generally experience good discussions, ideas from what others are doing. Sometimes have someone at table who gets off-topic or monopolizes discussion, but usually have vocal group.
4. If not too long.
5. NA
6. You should always have interaction of IAB members at these events.
7. See above
8. It is always uncomfortable for me. (For example, jumping up and down or doing stupid games.) But, once we get to talking, the interaction is usually a positive. If for no other reason than building those relationships with folks having similar issues or who have worked through those issues.
9. I think it would have more value if more time for questions were allowed. If questions not forthcoming than a few should be asked by moderator to get things going.
10. Yes people speak up more in small groups
11. Yes...as it allow everyone to expand their professional network and obtain IAB contacts from other universities.
12. Only if people speak up and join the conversation
13. Everyone can learn from each other's success and mistakes.
14. Any sharing of knowledge is important.
15. Absolutely!!!!
16. not as formal - provides opportunities to ask detailed questions
17. Great discussions in these smaller groups.

20. What suggestions do you have for improving the Roundtable discussions?

1. Need more time and maybe rotate around to talk with most of everyone. Cut down time on other items to make room
2. It was great to me as a first attendee. Hopefully, I can attend another meeting held on ACCE accreditation process or evaluation. Thanks.
3. None, it went well
4. Move back to the morning; replace some of the best practices presentation time with the practical approach of exchanging information. I'd like to actually see other IAB measurement tools, meeting agendas, meeting notes, etc. do those who are high performing can share the administration and metric tracking tools.
5. not sure - besides having "plant" at each table who is expert at keeping group on topic and moving forwards (not sideways)
6. No
7. Get speakers like the VP who did the number counting activity
8. None
9. Our group members were ready to go home by then.
10. none
11. Have just one topic for discussion the same for all tables
12. I thought it was very good.
13. More topics and open discussions
14. Please create a mechanism to increase the number of industry member participation by providing some incentives to come.
15. None.
16. see above
17. Think it is good maybe come up with somewhat controversial topics

18. Compare ABET to ACCE...they'll really be no comparison to ACCE but the topic should prove to be fascinating.
19. NA
20. Longer duration, I think this is one of the most important pieces of the entire event.
21. Allow industry participants more opportunities to share their thoughts
22. Better distribution of people at each table.
23. Have multiple topics with the choice of each attendee selected when they register.
24. I got ahead of myself and answered this in 17 above.
25. The discussion felt a bit rushed. Perhaps a little more time for discussion, or two different topics, would be beneficial.
26. Let's keep it going! I think it's a great event and I plan to bring more of our IAB members.
27. None

21. Did you find value in the Synthesis/Discussion/and Take Home Lessons (the roundtable reporting session)?

		Response Total	Response Percent
Yes		24	89%
No		3	11%
Total Respondents		27	

22. Why or why not? Please explain the value you received or would have liked to.

1. food for thought
2. Practical
3. NA
4. See last comments on the efficacy of round tables.
5. It was good working thru it
6. It provided a simply synopsis...very good.
7. Valuable information from other members.
8. Got to hear about the other discussions taking place.
9. missed this portion
10. It's really not effective.

23. What suggestions do you have for improving the Synthesis/Discussion/and Take Home Lessons session?

1. None, went well
2. More examples of actual items.
3. I think you're doing a great job.
4. None
5. None
6. None.
7. none
8. In my mind it was a good exercise people were committed to interact
9. Longer duration for discussion
10. Build upon current topics and present new topics on every meeting
11. Not sure that's necessary

24. Did you find value in the Closing remarks?

		Response Total	Response Percent
Yes		24	89%
No		3	11%
Total Respondents		27	

25. Why or why not? Please explain the value you received or would have liked to.

1. Great closing remarks ended up with great impression to me.
2. I felt they were a little canned/expected - not terrible original or motivating.
3. nice, short wrap-up
4. NA
5. Closing remarks are just that. Close. Good night.
6. Yea he let us know why we were there and made me want to try and recruit more industry people
7. Closing remarks were good, but the LSU's online CM programs noted between presentations was good also.
8. It's something I think most of us knew, but was reassuring to have all of our goals reiterated on the same level and mindset.
9. A recap does not provide much new information. The closing remarks were a good ending point but of limited value.
10. It was a great way to end a very well organized day. I always get invigorated from Mike's comments.

26. Did you find value in the Workbook?

	Response Total	Response Percent
Yes	27	100%
No	0	0%
Total Respondents		27

27. Why or why not? Please explain the value you received or would have liked to.

1. That's my best takeaway - where I write all my notes and have the presentations. Now, if you could also provide a thumb drive with all the presentations that would be perfect (and now that I say that - I think I saw someone with a thumb drive - did I miss out?).
2. It is was something I could take home and use.
3. NA
4. Gave me a take-away.
5. good take home
6. liked it very much
7. I now have a hard copy of the contacts and the program that I can share. It is useful.
8. Was nice to be able to have a concrete form of information, but some of it was difficult to read due to size of font etc.
9. Any written material is useful at some point.
10. Workbook contains information to share with IAB members that did not make this meeting. Perhaps it may encourage some to attend future meetings.
11. I will be able to reference the content in the future.
12. I have already referenced the book more than once.
13. Great take home information

28. What did you like best about this IAB Event?

1. location is different every year
2. It was not boring but interesting all day led by the event holders.
3. The ideas, discussions and best practices
4. Social interaction, the panel, learning opportunities, proportion of industry and academics well balanced, the keynote, lunch the first day
5. Keynote presentation
6. Hearing about other IABs
7. Speaker following lunch
8. Meeting other IAB and faculty members.
9. Susan was a great MS
10. Susan Labas energy
11. Open panel and Q&A
12. Sharing ideas from other IABs!
13. The passion of the ACCE and its people.

14. Industry members participations
15. Networking.
16. Chance to meet with others
17. I liked the Keynote Speaker
18. Meeting with other IAB members was informative
19. NA
20. Networking, and reassuring values of all schools weather we are competing with one another or not.
21. Industry points of view.
22. Meeting people from the different boards across the country.
23. Speakers were first rate.
24. It is very well organized. I appreciate the respect for our time.
25. Networking with other IAB members was fantastic.
26. Information sharing
27. Knowledgeable presenters. Location – Location - Location

29. What did you like least about this IAB Event?

1. The rooms aboard the ship were very low quality.
2. Could be combine with ACCE accreditation things.
3. Meeting room had too much outside noise, doors closing, etc.
4. Often seems that there is a lack of volunteer support, involvement. I am one who was unable to fulfill my commitment. Over-burdening an individual diminishes their ability to enjoy and learn. I also felt there was condescension from one individual to another. Part of the old-boy routine and ego dynamic.
5. All was good
6. Nothing
7. None
8. N/A
9. Too Long
10. Slow start...opening speaker
11. Round table project
12. Nothing, it was good.
13. I would like to see the quality of the venues improved including accommodations and meeting rooms.
14. Limited number of participants
15. Games.
16. Queen Mary
17. The lunch
18. Great Show
19. NA
20. Nothing
21. N/A
22. There is nothing specific I would identify as liking the least.
23. Limited time to network with other attendees.
24. The afternoon session is weak. I'd prefer to have more open discussion in small groups as I outlined earlier.
25. Some of the messaging regarding the ACCE became too much like political propaganda. I suspect that everyone in the room supported ACCE already.
26. There's not a session at the annual meeting

30. Please give your opinion on various other items, i.e., Registration Process, Breakfast, Lunch, Breaks, Dinner, Overall Venue, etc.?

1. All food aboard the ship was excellent.
2. The food for the Wed night dinner was OK but not excellent. Didn't like the cash bar concept. Maybe include wine and select beer as part of registration fees with cash bar for hard liquor. Bit in general, it was a great workshop.
3. All was fine.
4. The only issue I had with the venue was the very slippery floors.
5. all great
6. None

7. All good
8. Went well
9. Should give more recognition to industry members who are taking a whole day of work to join the session.
10. great
11. All listed above all was done well, except the Queen Mary was an awful lodging experience.
12. The Queen Mary was only so-so, the breakfast was not very good. Lunches were very nice.
13. Could be improved.
14. All are great, thanks.
15. All else was excellent.
16. Everything was Ok. Would rather have been in hotel than on the old boat.
17. Everything was good The actual Queen Mary had some challenges with rooms etc. but I think people enjoyed the historical part of it
18. Queen Mary was interesting, but ...
19. NA
20. More breaks needed, more interactive activities with attendees
21. Excellent
22. Everything went very well and the food was excellent.
23. Venue was good for IAB session. A somewhat less convenient (travel between sessions) for the ACCE meeting
24. Registration is good. I did not know about other events. I had to inquire about the MIT process. I knew it was the next day from previous attendance, but if you could outline these events earlier, it would help. Maybe I just missed them, but I don't recall seeing it in the IAB info. The food was fine. I loved the Queen Mary. Keep up the good work. This is an important event. Encourage more attendance. List participation as an opportunity at every site visit. Not sure why there isn't more attendance.
25. The venue was certainly unique, and overall, adequate.
26. All were well coordinated
27. All great!

31. What suggestions do you have for improving the IAB Event?

1. Need more "microphone" time for members in attendance. Cut down time on speakers and open up more time for the audience to provide their insights. Knowledge of the whole is better than a collect few.
2. Nothing at this time.
3. Location not well suited for a meeting like this
4. Changes in the content/format of the first presentation.
5. Keep doing what you're doing
6. More industry reps.
7. None
8. None
9. NA.
10. Break-out sessions
11. No more round tables
12. Different venue.
13. More for industry to do on Thursday. Even a brain storming roundtable type of situation.
14. Twice a year event for IAB
15. Always difficult to come up with new ideas. Perhaps, focusing the next few years on specific issues for the IABs. I like reviewing Charlie's Benchmarking as many may not have heard about it. Providing a one or two page synopsis AT the event and quickly referring to it makes people think they got something new while attending. They can read it later. Then, focus on issues that subcommittee may need to do. For example, development (fundraising). How do the successful programs do this? Another might be membership. Or curriculum. Or any other major support function. One might be discussions on bylaws. These could be breakouts for those interested or half-days for all.
16. none
17. More Industry.
18. There needs to be an education session on what it means to be ABET accredited verses ACCE accredited.
19. Keep doing it
20. More attendees.

21. I think particular emphasis should be given to their program's value of IAB members attending the Visitor Training session and getting the opportunity to learn from visiting other institutions.
22. Multiple roundtable discussions would promote more networking, and also open up more idea sharing.

32. Would you consider attending another ACCE IAB event next year?

		Response Total	Response Percent
Yes		24	89%
No		3	11%
Total Respondents		27	

33. How many IAB Events have you attended?

	Yes	No	Response Total
2010 Albuquerque	11.11% (3)	88.89% (24)	27
2011 Ft. Lauderdale	29.63% (8)	70.37% (19)	27
2012 Phoenix	33.33% (9)	66.67% (18)	27
2013 Baton Rouge	40.74% (11)	59.26% (16)	27
2014 Tampa	48.15% (13)	51.85% (14)	27
Total Respondents			27